```
1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
               FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                       SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
      IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL
      ROBOT ANTITRUST LITIGATION ) Case No.:
 5
                               ____ ) 3:21-cv-03825-VC
      THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
6
      ALL CASES
                                    ) Pages 1 to 205
 7
      SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE
8
      COMPANY, INC.,
                 Plaintiff,
9
10
            vs.
11 |
      INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
           Defendant.
12
13
14
15
                           DEPOSITION OF:
16
                   CLIFTON EARL PARKER, VOLUME I
17
                      TUESDAY, OCTOBER 25, 2022
                   9:08 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time
18
19
20
     REPORTED BY:
21
     Vickie Blair
22
     CSR No. 8940, RPR-CRR
      JOB NO. 5541122
2.3
24
25
     PAGES 1 - 207
                                                Page 1
```

1	Deposition of CLIFTON EARL PARKER, the witness, taken on
2	behalf of the Defendant, on Tuesday, October 25, 2022,
3	9:08 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time, before VICKIE BLAIR,
4	CSR No. 8940, RPR-CRR.
5	
6	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL VIA ZOOM:
7	
8	FOR THE WITNESS:
9	JEFFREY L. BERHOLD, P.C.
	BY JEFF L. BERHOLD, Partner
10	1230 Peachtree Street
	Suite 1050
11	Atlanta, Georgia 30309
	404-872-3800
12	jeff@berhold.com
13	FOR PLAINTIFF/COUNTER-DEFENDANT SURGICAL INSTRUMENT
	SERVICE CO. INC.:
14	
	HALEY GUILIANO LLP
15	BY RICHARD T. MCCAULLEY, Partner
1.6	111 North Market Street
16	Suite 900
17	San Jose, California 95113 +1 669 213 1071
1/	richard.mccaulley@hglaw.com
18	richard. mccadriey@ngraw.com
10	HALEY GUILIANO LLP
19	BY DONNY K. SAMPORNA, Associate
	111 North Market Street
20	Suite 900
	San Jose, California 95113
21	+1 669 213 1080
	donny.samporna@hglaw.com
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 2

```
1
      APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL VIA ZOOM: (Continued)
2
      FOR DEFENDANT INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.:
               COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
3
               BY SONYA D. WINNER, Partner
4
               415 Mission Street
               Suite 5400
5
               San Francisco, California 94105-2533
               +1 415 591 7072
6
               swinner@cov.com
7
               COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
               BY ANNA BOBROW, Associate
               850 Tenth Street, NW
8
               Washington, D.C. 20001-4956
9
               +1 202 662 5948
               abobrow@cov.com
10
      INTERIM CO-LEAD COUNSEL FOR THE PROPOSED CLASS:
11
               SPECTOR ROSEMAN & KODROFF, P.C.
12
               BY JEFFREY L. SPECTOR, Partner
               2001 Market Street
               Suite 3420
13
               Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
14
               P: 215-496-0300
               jspector@srkattorneys.com
15
               SPECTOR ROSEMAN & KODROFF, P.C.
16
               BY JEFFREY J. CORRIGAN, Partner
               2001 Market Street
               Suite 3420
17
               Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
               P: 215-496-0300
18
               jcorrigan@srkattorneys.com
19
      ALSO PRESENT:
20
               RAMON A. PERAZA, Videographer
21
2.2
23
24
2.5
                                                   Page 3
```

# Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-23 Filed 03/23/23 Page 4 of 8

1	your ability to pursue option one?	07:52:33
2	A No.	07:52:35
3	Q Has anything been reported to you since	07:52:35
4	2019 of Intuitive doing anything with your ability to	07:52:38
5	pursue option two?	07:52:41
6	A Yes.	07:52:44
7	Q And and what was that?	07:52:44
8	A The CEO of Panama City Surgery Center told	07:52:47
9	me that that Intuitive would not allow them to	07:52:54
10	purchase instruments from us, and then	07:53:01
11	Q The CEO of what company?	07:53:08
12	A Panama City Surgery Center.	07:53:10
13	Q Panama.	07:53:12
14	And when was this?	07:53:13
15	A It's probably six or eight months ago, and	07:53:14
16	then he had another conver they had another	07:53:18
17	conversation with me after that, and when he found out	07:53:22
18	that other people were purchasing instruments from us,	07:53:28
19	he said he was going to do it anyway.	07:53:31
20	Q So he has, in fact, purchased from you?	07:53:32
21	A In the last six months, they started back,	07:53:34
22	yes.	07:53:38
23	Q Okay. And and is has he told you	07:53:38
24	that anything bad happened to him as a result of that?	07:53:41
25	A I don't know as a result of that, but he	07:53:46
	Pa	ge 39

# Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-23 Filed 03/23/23 Page 5 of 8

1	has you'd have to ask him that, but I have been	07:53:50
2	given indication that he feels like something bad has	07:53:59
3	happened to them, don't know if it's as a result of	07:54:02
4	that; you'd have to ask him.	07:54:05
5	Q Any other accounts you've received since	07:54:06
6	2019 relating to any other effort by Intuitive to	07:54:15
7	interfere with your ability to pursue either option one	07:54:20
8	or option two?	07:54:23
9	A Not anymore because they basically scared	07:54:23
10	every customer away and they stopped purchasing	07:54:30
11	instruments.	07:54:34
12	Q Are you unsuccessful in your ability to	07:54:37
13	in your are you unsuccessful in your efforts to sell	07:54:41
14	instruments?	07:54:46
15	A Unsuccessful, I'm not sure how to validate	07:54:47
16	that term. We have sold considerably less than we were	07:54:53
17	selling when Intuitive started making threats against	07:55:01
18	hospitals.	07:55:04
19	Q And just to be clear, you have not	07:55:05
20	personally witnessed any threats to any hospitals;	07:55:11
21	correct?	07:55:15
22	A Well, no, I'm not in the discussion with	07:55:15
23	the Intuitive sales rep and the management at I	07:55:17
24	would never be in a situation	07:55:21
25	Q Has any hospital shared with you any	07:55:24
	Pag	ge 40

# Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-23 Filed 03/23/23 Page 6 of 8

1	documentary	evidence of Intuitive threatening them?	07:55:26
2	А	They haven't given me documents, but	07:55:31
3	they've told	d me that they've cancelled their service	07:55:39
4	contracts.		07:55:42
5	Q	But this is just things they've told you;	07:55:42
6	correct?		07:55:46
7	А	Yes.	07:55:46
8	Q	Going back to this slide, let's look at	07:55:46
9	the first s	lide the second slide that option one,	07:55:53
10	this has got	a productions number at the bottom with	07:55:57
11	the last for	ur numbers of 4922.	07:55:59
12		Are you with me?	07:56:00
13	А	Yes.	07:56:02
14	Q	There's a ref reference to a Las Vega	us 07:56:02
15	facility.		07:56:08
16		What is that?	07:56:11
17	А	Kevin and I own an office in Las Vegas.	07:56:11
18	Q	An office?	07:56:17
19	А	Yes.	07:56:21
20	Q	Is and what is the purpose of having	07:56:21
21	that office	?	07:56:26
22	А	To operate Restore Robotics Repairs.	07:56:28
23	Q	So have you moved the operations from you	ır 07:56:34
24	prior office	e to Las Vegas?	07:56:38
25	А	Yes.	07:56:40
			Page 41

# Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-23 Filed 03/23/23 Page 7 of 8

1	"and internationally," so we did have we do have and	12:49:48
2	are looking for international distributors, as well.	12:49:52
3	BY MR. McCAULLEY:	12:50:00
4	Q And do you have an understanding of	12:50:00
5	Restore's reputation in that market that you just	12:50:02
6	referred to?	12:50:03
7	A I do.	12:50:04
8	Q And what's that understanding?	12:50:04
9	A They're one of the largest and most	12:50:06
10	respected medical device repair companies, and actually	12:50:08
11	when Kevin was the owner of MediVision, we did work	12:50:14
12	together, so we would do some of their repairs on their	12:50:21
13	EndoWrists I'm sorry, flexible scopes when they had	12:50:28
14	too much work to do or if they needed, you know, some	12:50:31
15	additional help, we were an additional resource for	12:50:35
16	them.	12:50:38
17	Q I believe you also testified about the	12:50:38
18	complexity of repairing an EndoWrist.	12:50:41
19	Do you have an opinion as to whether or	12:50:43
20	not, with respect to the repair of the device itself,	12:50:46
21	SIS was qualified to repair EndoWrists?	12:50:48
22	MS. WINNER: Objection to form. Lack of	12:50:53
23	foundation.	12:50:56
24	THE WITNESS: The EndoWrist repair is a	12:50:56
25	very simple repair, especially in comparison to the	12:50:58
	Page	e 174

# Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-23 Filed 03/23/23 Page 8 of 8

1	other repairs that SIS is involved with, so it's a	12:51:03
2	it's not near as complicated as, for example, a	12:51:08
3	flexible end scope.	12:51:13
4	MR. McCAULLEY: Thank you, thank you.	12:51:16
5	Mr. Parker, I don't have any further questions.	12:51:18
6	VIDEOGRAPHER PERAZA: Does anybody else	12:51:25
7	have any questions?	12:51:26
8	MS. WINNER: I have some additional	12:51:27
9	questions for the witness, if the plaintiffs are done.	12:51:28
10	MR. McCAULLEY: SIS is done.	12:51:35
11	MR. CORRIGAN: Yes, we're done, we'll	12:51:38
12	reserve time, as you did, just in case	12:51:39
13	MS. WINNER: Sure.	12:51:42
14	MR. CORRIGAN: if we have more	12:51:43
15	questions at the end.	12:51:45
16	MS. WINNER: Sure, that's fine.	12:51:46
17		12:51:47
18	FURTHER EXAMINATION	12:51:47
19	BY MS. WINNER:	12:51:47
20	Q Okay. I just have some follow-up	12:51:48
21	questions on follow up on some of the questions that	12:51:50
22	Mr. Corrigan and Mr. McCaulley asked you, so I'll be	12:51:54
23	jumping around a bit. If you're losing track of where	12:51:56
24	I'm jumping, just speak up and I'll I'll try to slow	12:51:59
25	down and clarify where I am; okay?	12:52:05
	Page	e 175